No. ID/KNL/115/82/10129.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Raj Kumar and the management of H. ryana Roadways, Karnal regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matters in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raj Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

## The 2nd March, 1983

No. ID/KNL/10/83/10286.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Satyavir Giri and the management of M/s Sikka Rice and General Mills Nissang (Karnal), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Satyavir Giri was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. 1D/FD/10/83/10292.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Devi Saran and the management of M/s The Haryana State Federation of Consumers Co-operative Wholesale Stors, Ltd., Confed Sub-Division Palwal District Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (l) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana nereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Devi Saran was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/9/83/10300.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana's of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Mangal Parshad and the management of M/s Radhika Rubber Products Pyt. Ltd., Plot No. 33, Sector 6, Faridabid, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mangai Parshad was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/21/83/10332.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Bhikhu Ram and the management of M/s Karwa Enterprises Plot No. 5, Sector 6, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri BhikhuRam was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

## The 7th March, 1983

No. ID/FD/26/83/10923.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Girraj Singh and the management of M/s Eicher Goodearth Ltd., N.I.T., Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the industrial Tribunal, Haryana, iraridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Girraj Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/72/83/10930.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Jagan Nath and the management of M/s Metal Cast Industries, Plot No. 278/24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (l) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes. Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana nereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridavad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Jagan Nath was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. 13/33/19937.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the working Sari Nand Kumar and the management of M/s Eicher Goodearth Lid., N.1.1., Faridabad, regarding the matter nerematics appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Paridabau, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in applies of matters relevant to of cornected with the dispute as between the said management and the working for adjudication;—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Nand Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/56/83/10944.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Laxman Singh and the management of M/s Metal Cast Industries, Piot No. 278/24, Faridabad, regarding the matter nereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Laxman Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?